Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)
	10/736,26	57	MONCUS ET AL.
	Examine	r	Art Unit
	Sunil Si	ngh	3673
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) Sunil Singh.	(3) _	·	
(2) <u>C. Dean Domingue.</u> .	(4)	·	
Date of Interview: <u>2 February 2005</u>	Time: _		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes	☐ Applicant's repres No	sentative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:			·
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: claims 1 and 5 for typographical errors and claim 19 rejectable in view of Petty et al. '870			
Claims discussed:			
Claims 1, 5 and 19 to be amended (see examiner's an	nendment)		
Prior art documents discussed: US PAt. 4934870			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THe claims 1 and 5 to be amended to overcome typograph Petty et al. '870 reference.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant'	s Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)